Investigating the magnetism of brown dwarfs

O. Kuzmychov[1], S. V. Berdyugina[1,2], D. Harrington[1,2], and J. Kuhn[2]

[1] Kiepenheuer-Institut für Sonnenphysik, Schöneckstr. 6, 79104 Freiburg, Germany
 e-mail: `oleksii.kuzmychov@kis.uni-freiburg.de`
[2] Institute for Astronomy, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu HI 96822-1839, USA

Abstract. We model the spectra of two brown dwarfs observed with the low resolution spectropolarimeter LRIS ($R \sim 3000$, Keck observatory) during several rotational phases in order to infer their magnetic properties. The spectra modeled include the intensity signal (Stokes I/I_c) as well as the polarimetric signals (Stokes Q/I_c, U/I_c and V/I_c), all coming from the 0-0 vibrational band of the CrH molecule at ≈ 8610 Å. In order to model the Stokes profiles, we solve a set of the radiative transfer equations for the CrH transitions in the presence of an external magnetic field. We present here the upper limits for the magnetic field strengths for the objects observed, based on the modeling of the intensity signal I/I_c and the signal-to-noise information only. The proper modeling of the polarimetric signals, that requires more careful data reduction, is underway. Nevertheless, our preliminary results show a hint for kG magnetic fields for both brown dwarfs, that is in a good agreement with the result obtained from the simultaneous radio, Hα and X-Ray observations of one another radio pulsating brown dwarf.

Key words. Stars: brown dwarfs – Stars: magnetic field – Techniques: polarimetric

1. Introduction

The evidences for the stellar magnetic activity have been found across the entire HR diagram, from cool dwarfs to rapidly rotating giants. There are handful of techniques that allow to explore the stellar magnetism (see Berdyugina 2005).

In cool stars, the Zeeman broadening measurements are a wide used tool for inferring the information on the magnetic field strength (Robinson Jr 1980; Saar 1988; Valenti & Johns-Krull 2001). Because the atomic lines become weak for $T_{eff} \lesssim 3000$ K, this technique does not work well for cool M dwarfs and

Send offprint requests to: O. Kuzmychov

brown dwarfs. However, some molecular lines can be used for Zeeman broadening measurements in the stellar atmospheres cooler than $T_{eff} = 3000$ K. Thus, Valenti et al. (2001) and Berdyugina et al. (2001) suggested the FeH molecule for this purpose. The capabilities of the FeH for measuring of the stellar magnetic fields was investigated by Berdyugina & Solanki (2002) and Berdyugina et al. (2003). An overview over the molecules, that can be used for magnetic field measurements in cool stars, can be found in Bernath (2009).

The magnetism of brown dwarfs is not well studied yet. However, a small number of brown dwarfs that exhibit transient but periodic radio flares have been observed simultaneously

in radio, Hα and X-Rays (see Berger et al. 2005). These radio flares are believed to be driven by the electron cyclotron maser mechanism, for which a kG magnetic field is required (Hallinan et al. 2006, 2007).

In this work, we are going to explore the magnetism of two radio pulsating brown dwarfs with help of a spectropolarimetric technique developed for the CrH molecule by Kuzmychov & Berdyugina (2012, 2013). This technique makes use of the fact that in the presence of a kG magnetic field the CrH lines produce a measurable broad-band polarimetric signal.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2, we provide information on the data collected and the data reduction steps undertaken. Section 3 describes briefly how we calculate the synthetic CrH spectrum we are going to model to the data. Then, in Sec. 4, we present our very preliminary results on the magnetic field strength and field distribution for both brown dwarfs observed. Finally, we will draw conclusions from this work in Sec. 5.

2. Observations and data reduction

We conducted the full Stokes measurements of two radio pulsating brown dwarfs – M8.5 object 2MASS J18353790+3259545 (hereafter 2M 1835+32) and L3.5 object 2MASS J00361617+1821104 (hereafter 2M 0036+18) – at different rotational phases during two nights in August 2012. The observations were done with the low resolution dual-beam spectropolarimeter LRIS ($R \sim 3000$) at Keck observatory. With ≈ 10 min exposure time, we achieved the signal-to-noise ratio of ~ 300 and ~ 100 for the M8.5 and L3.5 object, respectively.

In order to complete one full Stokes measurement (Q/I_c, U/I_c and V/I_c), a sequence of six exposures is done. Due to the beamsplitter, one exposure gives two 2D spectra that have orthogonal polarization states. In order to minimize the instrumental effects, a second exposure for each of three Stokes parameters is done by rotating the retarder by $45°$, so that now the polarization states of the beams are exchanged. One Stokes parameter is then cal-

culated by averaging over a set of two subsequent exposures as follows (see e.g. Harrington & Kuhn 2008):

$$q = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{i^1_{\parallel,0°} - i^1_{\perp,0°}}{i^1_{\parallel,0°} + i^1_{\perp,0°}} - \frac{i^2_{\parallel,45°} - i^2_{\perp,45°}}{i^2_{\parallel,45°} + i^2_{\perp,45°}}\right), \qquad (1)$$

$$u = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{i^3_{\parallel,22.5°} - i^3_{\perp,22.5°}}{i^3_{\parallel,22.5°} + i^3_{\perp,22.5°}} - \frac{i^4_{\parallel,67.5°} - i^4_{\perp,67.5°}}{i^4_{\parallel,67.5°} + i^4_{\perp,67.5°}}\right), (2)$$

$$v = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{i^5_{\parallel,0°} - i^5_{\perp,0°}}{i^5_{\parallel,0°} + i^5_{\perp,0°}} - \frac{i^6_{\parallel,45°} - i^6_{\perp,45°}}{i^6_{\parallel,45°} + i^6_{\perp,45°}}\right). \qquad (3)$$

where i is the flux recorded by the CCD, and its upper index denotes the exposure's number. Thus, for measuring the Stokes Q/I_c two exposures are done: one with the half-wave plate at $0°$, that gives the both intensities $i^1_{\parallel,0°}$ and $i^1_{\perp,0°}$, and another one with the half-wave plate at $45°$, that gives $i^2_{\parallel,45°}$ and $i^2_{\perp,45°}$. For measuring the Stokes U/I_c, the orientations of the half-wave plate are $22.5°$ and $67.5°$. When measuring the Stokes V/I_c, a quarter-wave plate at $0°$ and $45°$ is used. The Stokes I/I_c can be obtained from each of the Stokes measurements (1) - (3) in the following way:

$$i = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{i^n_{\parallel,\alpha} + i^n_{\perp,\alpha}}{2} + \frac{i^{n+1}_{\parallel,\alpha+45°} + i^{n+1}_{\perp,\alpha+45°}}{2}\right), \qquad (4)$$

where $n = 1, 3, 5$ is the exposure's number, and $\alpha = 0°$ for both Q/I_c and V/I_c and $\alpha = 22.5°$ for U/I_c.

Because there is no public data reduction pipeline for LRIS, we developed our own routines that extract the spectra from the CCD images. Before extracting the spectra, the CCD images were corrected for distortions that arise when the light passes through the optics before hitting a CCD. Furthermore, we subtracted the flat field and the sky background from the data. The wavelength calibration was done with an Arc lamp spectrum recorded during the observing run. In order to account for the instrumental polarization, unpolarized and polarized standard stars were observed to compute zero-point and orientation information. As an additional

efficiency and absolute calibration test, obser-
vations using of the daytime sky were carried
out following Harrington et al. (2011).

Another data reduction step we are still
working on is removal of the cosmic ray hits.
In order to keep the accuracy of the polari-
metric signal observed near the level given by
the poisson statistics and the instrument perfor-
mance, the proper cleaning of the data from the
cosmic particle hits is necessary.

3. Modeling

We calculate the four Stokes parameters (I/I_c,
Q/I_c, U/I_c and V/I_c) for the 0-0 band from the
$A^6\Sigma - X^6\Sigma$ system of the CrH in the presence
of a magnetic field according to Kuzmychov &
Berdyugina (2012, 2013). For doing this, we
employ the radiative transfer code STOPRO
that calculates the Stokes parameters of one ore
more spectral lines (Solanki 1987; Berdyugina
et al. 2003). On input, the code requires the
molecular (or atomic) data of the spectral lines
to be calculated and an atmospheric model. We
use both Phoenix (Allard & Hauschild 1995)
and Drift-Phoenix (Witte et al. 2009) atmo-
spheric models, depending on the T_{eff} of the
object whose spectrum we are interested in.

Figure 1 shows the Stokes profiles simu-
lated for the 0-0 band of the CrH molecule
at the magnetic field strengths $1 - 6$ kG. The
stronger is the magnetic field, the bigger is the
polarimetric signal, reaching a few percent in
Stokes V/I_c at 6 kG. Furthermore, in a kG field
regime both Q/I_c and V/I_c show a substan-
tial asymmetry over the wide range of wave-
lengths, the so-called *broad-band polarization*.
This asymmetric shape of the polarimetric sig-
nals does not depend on the filling factor, but
only on the magnetic field strength (filling fac-
tor will merely reduce the strength of the sig-
nal, but it will not affect its shape).

4. Results

Here we model the synthetic spectra of the 0-0
band of the CrH in order to match it to the
data observed (see Sec. 2). Because for the
proper modeling of the polarimetric signal a

Fig. 1. Stokes profiles simulated for the 0-0 band
of the CrH molecule for 1 kG (dotted line), 3 kG
(dotted-dashed line) and 6 kG (solid line) magnetic
field strengths. The filling factor of the magnetic el-
ements is not taken into account (assumed to be 1).
The orientation of the magnetic field is $\gamma = 45°$ and
$\chi = 0°$, where γ is the inclination and χ is the az-
imuth angle of the field with respect to the line of
sight. We use here a Phoenix atmospheric model cal-
culated for $T_{eff} = 2500$ K, $\log g = 4.5$ and solar
abundances of the chemical elements. The instru-
mental broadening 3.5 Å, which corresponds to that
of LRIS, and the broadening due to stellar rotation
$v \sin i = 60$ km s^{-1} were taken into account.

more careful data reduction is required, the re-
sults presented here are based on the modeling
of the intensity signal and the signal-to-noise
information only.

Figure 2 shows the best match of the mod-
eled Stokes I/I_c to the intensity spectra ob-
served. For 2M 18353+32 object, the spectrum
modeled shows a good correspondence to the
observed one (cf. Fig. 2, upper panel). The re-
gion between roughly 863 and 867 nm, where
the two curves do not match as good, is most
likely contaminated by the TiO lines. One an-
other diatomic molecule, which lines contami-
nate the CrH spectrum on its red side, is FeH.
The head of its (1,0) vibrational band from the
$F^4\Delta - X^4\Delta$ system lies around 869 nm (Carroll
et al. 1976).

The modeling of the intensity signal for
2M 0036+18 object, that is significantly cooler
than the other one, is much more challenging
(cf. Fig. 2, lower panel). Not only the lines
of the CrH, but also that of TiO and FeH
that blend with the 0-0 band of the CrH, be-

Table 1. Upper limits for the magnetic field strengths.

Object	Field, kG	ff
	1	1
2M 1835+32 (M8.5)	3	0.6
	6	0.3
	1	1
2M J0036+18 (L3.5)	3	0.5
	6	0.3

come very deep and broad. Nevertheless, using only the CrH lines we could simulate a signal, that reproduces at least a couple of features of the spectrum observed. Thus, the band head is clearly identified, even though it appears narrower in the simulated signal than in the observed one. A possible reason for this could be the TiO lines missing in our model. Also, the bumps around 862.5 and 866.8 nm definitely belong to the CrH.

Based on the comparison of the Stokes I/I_c simulated with the observational data, we can get an idea on the magnetic field strength and its spatial distribution for both objects observed. Furthermore, from the signal-to-noise information of the data, we can deduce the upper limit of the magnetic field strength multiplied with the filling factor. This results are collected in Tab. 1.

5. Conclusions

From the results obtained in the preceding section, we can draw the following conclusions.

The spectropolarimetric technique for the CrH, that was developed by Kuzmychov & Berdyugina (2012, 2013) and that is applied here for the first time to the spectra of brown dwarfs, proved to be useful. Even though we did not fully exploit the potential of this technique, it yields very promising predictions for the magnetic field strength and its spatial distribution for both objects observed.

Our calculations indicate the existence of a kG surface magnetic field for both brown dwarfs considered. This results are in a good agreement with that inferred by Hallinan et al.

Fig. 2. Stokes profiles I/I_c for the 0-0 band of the CrH (solid line) modeled to the intensity signals observed (dashed-dotted line). For 2M 1835+32 object (upper panel), we calculate I/I_c for 1 kG magnetic field strength using a Drift-Phoenix atmospheric model for T_{eff} = 2500 K. I/I_c for 2M 0036+18 object (lower panel) is calculated for 3 kG magnetic field using a Drift-Phoenix atmospheric model for T_{eff} = 2000 K. Both atmospheric models were obtained for $\log g$ = 5.0 and solar abundances of the chemical elements. The orientation of the magnetic field is as indicated in the caption to Fig. 1. In both cases, we take account of the instrumental broadening of 3.5 Å, which corresponds to that of LRIS, and the broadening due to stellar rotation of $v \sin i = 60 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$.

(2006, 2007) from the simultaneous radio, Hα and X-Ray observations of one another radio pulsating brown dwarf.

After having reduced the data properly, we are going to model the polarimetric signals. This would provide us with the more reliable information on the magnetic field properties of the objects observed. We are also going to include into our calculations the lines of the TiO

and FeH, that blend with the 0-0 band of the CrH.

References

Allard, F., & Hauschild, P. 1995, ApJ, 445, 433

Berdyugina, S. V. 2005, Living Reviews in Solar Phys., 2, 8

Berdyugina, S. V., Solanki, S. K., & Frutiger, C. 2001, ASP Conf. Ser., 248, 99

Berdyugina, S. V., & Solanki, S. K. 2002, A&A, 385, 701

Berdyugina, S. V., Solanki, S. K., & Frutiger, C. 2003, A&A, 412, 513

Berger, E. et al. 2005, ApJ, 627, 960

Bernath, P. F. 2009, Int. Rev. in Phys. Chem., 28, 681

Carroll, P. K., McCormack, P., & O'Connor, S. 1976, ApJ, 208, 903

Hallinan, G. et al. 2006, ApJ, 653, 690

Hallinan, G. et al. 2007, ApJ, 663, L25

Harrington, D. M., & Kuhn, J. R. 2008, PASP, 120, 89

Harrington, D. M., Kuhn, J. R., & Hall, S. 2011, PASP, 123, 799

Kuzmychov, O., & Berdyugina, S. V. 2012, AIP Conf. Proc., 1429, 94

Kuzmychov, O., & Berdyugina, S. V. 2013, submitted to A&A

Robinson Jr, R.D. 1980, ApJ, 239, 961

Saar, S.H. 1988, ApJ, 324, 441

Solanki, S. 1987, PhD Thesis, ETH Zürich

Valenti, J.A., & Johns-Krull, C.M. 2001, ASP Conf. Proc., 248, 179

Valenti, J.A., Johns-Krull, C.M., & Piskunov, N.E. 2001, ASP Conf. Proc., 223, 1579

Witte, S., Helling, Ch., & Hauschild, P.H. 2009, A&A, 506, 1367